

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspło.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,798	01/16/2004	James E. Decker JR.	9128-7 8288	
30565	7590 08/06/2004		EXAM	INER
	D, EMHARDT, MORIAI	DEPUMPO, DANIEL G		
BANK ONE CENTER/TOWER 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPO	LIS, IN 46204-5137		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	4 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/759,7	98	DECKER, JAMES E.			
		Examine	•	Art Unit			
			DePumpo	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the manded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no evereply within the startiod will apply and watute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be time tutory minimum of thirty (30) day; rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	ร January 200	04				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow			secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 14-19 and 23-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 14-19 and 23-26 are subject to res	drawn from co	nsideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)[)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		· ·	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed as Copies of the priority documed as Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the priority document and the priority document application from the Internation for a line of the priority document and the priority document application from the priority document and the priority document and the priority document a	ents have bee ents have bee riority documo eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	⁷ 08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te)-152)		

Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I fig. 3

II fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 8/3/04